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Abstract

Botulinum toxin (BTX) has been widely used in the management of Temporomandibular Disorders (TMD) due to its analgesic and relaxing abilities, and its relatively fast disposal of poor compliance. In this systematic review, we aim to discuss the effectiveness of BTX in the management of TMD, including its effect on pain, maximum mouth opening, and adverse effects. A systematic electronic database search was conducted in seven databases for relevant studies published prior to 7 July 2020. Generally, pain relief improved over time in most of the included studies. Both slight and significant improvements in mouth opening following BTX injection were observed. No serious adverse effects or complications were reported by the included studies. Adverse effects reported were headaches, weakness, increased pain, flu-like symptoms, chewing discomfort, and unilateral paralysis of the zygomaticus major muscle. However, adverse effects caused by BTX local infusion may be dose dependant, and this aspect should be considered in future investigations. This study demonstrates that it is difficult to determine the effect of BTX on TMD patients, and it is essential that more research is conducted in this field with proper populations and fewer limitations. However, we can conclude that the administration of BTX is almost free of severe complications and may only cause minimal adverse effects.
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Introduction

Temporomandibular Disorders (TMD) have been defined as a group of musculoskeletal or neuromuscular conditions that are related to the temporomandibular joint, the muscles of mastication and the surrounding tissues. It has been identified as the third most common cause of pain after headaches and back pain, and the most common cause of pain in the orofacial region (1, 2). Different assessment approaches regarding TMD have not been widely reported as different classifications are often used to identify the disease, which involves a wide range of disorders. However, a 10 to 30% increase in prevalence rate of TMD has been identified (3-7). Additionally, few patients suffering from TMD seek medical attention (8).

The clinical presentation of the disorder includes a variety of symptoms and signs including neck pain, orofacial pain involving the jaw, decreased jaw excursion, tinnitus, crepitus, trismus, headache, earache and periorbital pain (9, 10). Various cause factors have been identified for TMD. They include inherited and psychological features and parafunctional habits including bruxism, trauma and occlusion (11-15). The diagnosis of TMD is complicated as there are various factors involved in its etiology. As such, various clinical signs regarding TMD should be investigated. Bruxism, known simply as the unconscious clenching of the jaw, is one of the most common contributing factors to TMD (15). However, patients suffering from TMD may also present with other similar myogenous characteristics that are also widely found when diagnosing and classifying TMD (11, 16, 17).

A history of aural fullness, tinnitus and otalgia should be considered due to the double innervation of the Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) and ear muscles by the trigeminal nerve (18). Jaw-related medical events including trauma, malocclusion and a history of orthodontic treatment should also be given consideration. Imaging approaches that include Orthopantomograms (OPG) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) have been used to assess the integrity of joint movement, dentition and the presence of underlying rheumatological disorders (19).

The initial treatment of TMD avoids further exposure to the aforementioned risk and aetiological factors, and provides joint relief via proper diet and physiotherapy, warm compresses and local analgesia. Additionally, various management approaches have been described for the management of TMD, including pharmacological treatment and surgical procedures (20, 21). Botulinum Toxin (BTX), which is a potent neurotoxin released by Clostridium Botulinum, has been used as adjuvant therapy for managing head and neck pain (22, 23). It has also been studied as the primary treatment for cervical dystonia and other muscular disorders (24). From a cosmetic perspective, BTX has also been reported to result in significant enhancements without severe adverse effects (25). BTX is administered via intramuscular injection, as the poison acts by acetylcholine release blockage from the presynaptic nerve endings which results in the relaxation of the muscles and the relief of neurogenic pain (26). Other mechanisms include a reduced release of inflammatory mediators and controversial central action by exclusively decreasing the sensitization for chronic pain (27, 28).

Consequently, BTX has been widely used in the management of TMD due to its analgesic and relaxing abilities and its relatively fast action disposal of poor compliance. In this systematic review, we aim to discuss the effectiveness of BTX in the management of TMD including its effect on pain, maximum mouth opening and its adverse effects.

Methods

Search strategy and study selection

The study’s methodology followed the accepted recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist (29). A systematic electronic database search was undertaken for relevant studies published prior to 1 March 2021 in seven databases including Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Embase, and CINAHL using keywords and medical subject (MeSH) terms. In databases that do not support MeSH terms combinations of all possible terms were used. Additionally, a manual search was undertaken of references from the included articles by searching for primary studies that had cited our identified papers and scanning references of the relevant papers in PubMed and Google Scholar to avoid missing any relevant publications (30). We have included all original relevant studies that discuss the effectiveness of BTX in managing temporomandibular disorders. Papers were excluded if they featured one of the following exclusion...
criteria: pilot studies, duplicate records, incomplete reports or data that could not be reliably extracted, abstract-only articles, theses, books and conference papers. Title and abstract screening were undertaken independently by four reviewers. Then, three further independent reviewers performed a full-text screening to ensure the inclusion of relevant papers. Any disagreement was resolved via discussion and by referring to the senior author when necessary.

**Data extraction**

Four authors developed the data extraction sheet using Microsoft Excel software. Data extraction was undertaken by three independent reviewers using the excel sheet. Two independent reviewers performed data checking to ensure the accuracy of the extracted data. All disagreements and discrepancies were resolved by discussion and consultation with the senior author when necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Male %</th>
<th>Age mean (SD)</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>Overall Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Altaweel, 2019(33)</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>23.28 (±2.01)</td>
<td>To compare between extra-oral and intra-oral approach for BTX-A injection into the LPM in patients suffering from ADDWR</td>
<td>The BTX-A injection into LPM is a simple technique that can be used with high success and low complication rate for treatment of ADDWR. The intraoral approach was superior to the extraoral concerning patient convenience and injection duration with no statistical difference regarding other clinical outcomes</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batifol, 2018(34)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>32.47</td>
<td>46 (range 21 to 78)</td>
<td>To evaluate the effectiveness of such injections on severe, refractory temporomandibular joint pain. This was a retrospective study</td>
<td>Intra-articular injection of Botulinum toxin is a safe and effective treatment for severe, refractory temporomandibular joint pain, avoiding surgery.</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calis, 2019(35)</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>33.67</td>
<td>To investigate the efficacy of botulinum toxin injection in the treatment of muscular TMD</td>
<td>Botulinum toxin injection for the treatment of muscular temporomandibular joint disorder is a viable treatment option in the case of patients who do not respond to conservative treatment methods.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernberg, 2011(36)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>38 (±12)</td>
<td>To investigate the BTX A in patients with persistent TMD</td>
<td>Results do not indicate a clinical relevant effect of BTX-A in patients with persistent myofascial TMD pain</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guarda-Nardini, 2008(37)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>range 25-45</td>
<td>To assess the efficacy of type A botulinum toxin (Botox, Allergan, Inc. Irvine, CA) to treat myofascial pain symptoms and to reduce muscle hyperactivity in bruxers</td>
<td>Results support the efficacy of BTX-A to reduce myofascial pain symptoms in bruxers, and provided pilot data which need to be confirmed by further research using larger samples.</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guarda-Nardini, 2012(38)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>45.45 (±14.04)</td>
<td>To compare the short-term effectiveness of botulinum toxin injections and physiatric treatment provided by means of fascial manipulation techniques in the management of myofascial pain of jaw muscles.</td>
<td>Findings from the present investigation are in line with literature data supporting the effectiveness of a wide spectrum of conservative treatment approaches to myofascial pain of the jaw muscles.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtoglu, 2008(39)</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>26.5 (±8.8)</td>
<td>To evaluate the effects of BTX A in the treatment of patients who have myofascial pain with or without functional disc displacement.</td>
<td>The injection of BTX A decreases the muscle action potential in 14 days. The patients also show improvement in pain and psychological status</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nixdorf, 2002(40)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33 18-45 (range)</td>
<td>To determine whether BTX A was efficacious for the treatment of chronic moderate to severe jaw muscle pain in females.</td>
<td>The results do not support the use of BTX-A in the treatment of moderate to severe jaw muscle pain in this patient population</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel, 2017(41)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>To examine the effectiveness and safety of IncobotulinumtoxinA in relieving the subject’s muscle spasm and pain associated with TMD.</td>
<td>We demonstrate utility of IncobotulinumtoxinA in treating patients with TMD with pain despite pain medication usage and other conventional treatments.</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Lindern, 2003(42)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>To assess whether the targeted reduced of masticatory muscular hyperactivity by local injection treatment with botulinum toxin type A can improve facial pain headache symptoms in the event that other treatment methods prove ineffective.</td>
<td>The local injection of BTX A constitutes an innovative and adequately efficient treatment method for chronic facial pain associated with hyperactivity of the masticatory muscles. An improvement in the painful symptoms can be expected in up to 90% of patients who do not respond to conservative treatment methods.</td>
<td>Bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshida, 2018(43)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40.63</td>
<td>62.3 (±24.0)</td>
<td>To compare treatment outcomes following intramuscular injection of BoNT in patients with recurrent temporomandibular joint dislocation, with and without muscle hyperactivity due to neurological diseases.</td>
<td>No significant immediate or delayed complications occurred. Thus, intramuscular injection of BoNT into the lateral pterygoid muscle is an effective and safe treatment for habitual temporomandibular joint dislocation. More injections are required in cases of neurogenic temporomandibular joint dislocation than in those of habitual dislocation without muscle hyperactivity.</td>
<td>Bad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADDWR: anterior disc displacement with reduction, BoNT: botulinum neurotoxin, BTX-A: botulinum toxin type A, RCT: randomized controlled trials, SD: standard deviation, TMD: temporomandibular disorders
Quality assessment

Five independent reviewers evaluated the risk of bias in the included studies. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) quality assessment tools were used to determine the quality of included studies, in reference to their study design (31). Quality assessment of each study was obtained via a scoring system that comprised of 14 questions. The criteria was judged as follows; a score of 13 to 14 was good, 9 to 12 was fair, and studies scoring below 9 were considered to be poor quality (32). Any discrepancies between the reviewers were solved via discussion.

Results

Search results

Initially, a total of 1,161 records were extracted; of which 308 duplicates were removed, resulting in 853 papers for title and abstract screening. Following the first screening, 59 articles were selected for full-text screening. After the exclusion of an additional 48 records, 11 studies were included the final systematic review. Manual search efforts did not find any additional relevant papers (Figure 1).

Characteristics and quality of the included studies.

The sample size of the included studies ranged from 9 to 90 individuals, with a male percentage ranging from 9.5 to 50%. The patient mean ages were similarly wide-ranging, from 23.28 to 62.3 years. The characteristics of the studies are summarized in Table 1. Seven of the included studies were assessed using the NIH tool for randomized controlled trials and the remaining four were assessed using cohort and cross-sectional tools. Overall, the group was comprised of seven studies of fair quality, two of good quality, and two of poor quality. Assessor blinding, lack of follow-up and control for confounders were the most defective domains (Table 1).

Figure 1: PRISMA flowchart summarizing the search process in this study
Discussion

BTX is a 150 kDa exotoxin with seven serotypes ranging from A to G with Serotype A (BTX-A) the most available serotype (23, 33). Its mechanism of action is temporary lasting from three to six months (22). It has been widely used to manage head and neck pain, including migraine headaches, sialorrhea, post-herpetic neuralgia, masseteric hypertrophy, hemifacial spasm and frey syndrome (34). Despite being approved for several disorders such as chronic migraine, bladder overcapacity and cervical dystonia (34), the use of BTX in TMD has not yet been verified due to the variety of reported results. In 2002, Schwartz et al. (35) were the first to report BTX beneficial properties for TMD patients after applying it topically to the affected structure. Many subsequent studies reported similar findings, but with different dosages and BTX application techniques. Aside from reducing the release of chemical transmitters at the nerve endings, BTX also has a direct analgesic effect on nociceptors via inhibition of substance P, calcitonin, glutamate and gene regulated peptide (27) which decreases pain in the neighboring groups of muscles within four days of injection (15). Formulations of BTX in these studies include abobotulinumtoxin A (Dysport, Ipsen), incobotulinumtoxinA (Xeomin, Merz Pharmaceuticals), onabotulinumtoxin A (Botox, Allergan), and rimabotulinumtoxinB (Myobloc, Solstice Neurosciences). To reduce discomfort after a BTX injection, our identified studies diluted the injection before introducing it to their patients. However, Gassner et al. reported that local anesthesia can also be used to utilize the complete potency of BTX (36). Among the included studies, nine (15, 22, 37-43) used onabotulinumtoxinA (Botox, Allergan) whilst Guarda-Nardini et al. (44), and Patel et al. (45) used abobotulinumtoxin A (Dysport, Ipsen), and incobotulinumtoxin A (Xeomin, Merz Pharmaceuticals), respectively. However, studies that identify the effects of one formulation over another have not been published, and we could therefore not determine which is the best and most effective formula. Further investigations should compare between different formulations (46).

Despite the importance of using defined criteria for diagnosing TMD patients as reported by Thambar et al. (47), only seven studies (22, 37, 39, 40, 44, 45, 48) used the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD) (17), while the remaining four used their own diagnostic criteria (38, 41-43). As for pain evaluation, almost all studies used a numeric Visual Analog Scale (VAS), and only two utilized behavioral evaluations of their patients (39, 43). Despite its prevalence, VAS assessment is not the best method for pain evaluation as results are variable and dependent on the nature of data collection and frequency of the patients’ recalls. Conversely, Conti et al. (49) compared a behavior scale against the VAS to assess reliability and validity and determined that the best way to assess pain was via a numerical scale.

Variations in the injected muscle of mastication and dosages of BTX have been noticed. From all of our included studies, eight (15, 22, 39-42, 44, 45) injected patients in the masseter muscle, and seven (15, 39-42, 44, 45) injected patients in both the masseter and temporalis muscle. Only Patel et al. (45) injected in the masseter, temporalis, and lateral pterygoid muscles, and von Lindern et al. was the only study to inject patients in all muscles of mastication based on the area of tenderness (42). Both Altaweel et al. (37) and Yoshida et al. (43) exclusively injected their patients in the lateral pterygoid muscle. Batifol et al. (38) was the only included study to inject patients in the intra-articular area with no mention of which muscles.

Target muscle identification and confirmation was done by palpation in two studies (38, 44), palpation after topography in one study (42), and palpitation guided ultrasonography in a further single study (48), while the remaining seven (22, 37, 39-41, 43, 45) studies used electromyography for confirmation of the target muscle. A standardized dose of BTX injections has not yet been established. Although the doses used are decisive when treating TMDs, only the lowest effective dose should be administered to prevent the formation of antibodies from excess dosage that can lead to poisoning. In this examination of 11 studies, a variety of doses ranging between 50 to 300U were identified. Almost all studies injected patients bilaterally, except for Yoshida et al. (43) who injected patients according to the site of temporomandibular dislocation (either uni- or bilaterally). Patients were initially monitored for up to one month after the BTX injection in five studies (38-40, 42, 48), while the other six (22, 37, 41, 43-45) reported longer follow-up periods.

The primary outcome identified was pain relief after BTX injection with a general tendency for pain to improve over time. Meanwhile, short-term enhancements were reported by Altaweel et al. (37),
Batifol et al. (38), Sipahi Calis et al. (41), Kurtoglu et al. (39), von Lindern et al. (42), Yoshida et al. (43), Guarda-Nardini et al. (44), and Patel et al. (45). Conversely, Nixdorf et al. (40) and Ernberg et al. (22) indicated no significant improvement in pain intensity after the BTX administration for TMD patients. Ernberg et al. (22) also demonstrated minimal short-term and long-term enhancements.

Additional outcomes include changes in mouth opening and the presence of adverse outcomes following BTX injections. Significant improvement in mouth opening was observed by Altaweel et al. (37) and Batifol et al. (38). The two studies conducted by Guarda-Nardini et al. (44, 48) showed a slight improvement in mouth opening, but Ernberg et al. (22) demonstrated no significant improvement in mouth openness during the study or after the follow-up period. Furthermore, Nixdorf et al. (40) improvement was identified in the placebo group, unlike the BTX group who experienced opening reduction. Sipahi Calis et al. (41) reported that two of his patients experienced reduced mouth opening while six patients had increased mouth opening ability, and only one patient showed no change.

Therefore, the effect of BTX injections on mouth opening diameter can be considered to be minimal, as identified by the study authors. No novel adverse effects or complications were reported by any of the included studies. Adverse effects defined by four of the included studies included headaches, weakness, increased pain, flu-like symptoms, chewing discomfort and unilateral paralysis of the zygomatic us major muscle (22, 38, 40, 44). However, adverse effects caused by BTX local infusion may be dose dependant, and should be considered in future investigations (50, 51). It is worth mentioning that Altaweel et al. (37) assessed TMJ clicking and it was significantly reduced after BTX administration. Additionally, the authors reported persistent joint and laterl ptyregoid muscle tenderness despite having no marks on VAS.

Limitations within our study include the small sample size of the assessed patients in the final included studies. In addition, long-term follow-up periods should be defined and completed by each study as it may otherwise contribute to attrition bias. For example, Nixdorf et al. (40) results indicated improved mouth opening in the placebo group but a decreased ability in the BTX group. Variable follow-up periods have been used to assess the included patients. Moreover, unified diagnostic criteria was not utilized in all of the included studies. None of the included studies compared two different BTX formulations while further studies aimed at assessing formulations and doses are needed. Finally, the high costs of favoring BTX over other therapies should be also considered (47) even if BTX injection is injected once only with no requirements for daily routine compliance (52).

Conclusion

It is difficult to determine the effect of BTX on TMD patients due to several limitations characteristic of the included studies. It is therefore essential that more research is conducted in this field with proper populations and fewer limitations. However, we can conclude that the administration of BTX is almost free of severe complications and only causes minimal adverse effects.
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