JOURNAL OF HEALTHCARE SCIENCES Volume 4 Issue 12 2024, Article ID: JOHS2024001020 http://dx.doi.org/10.52533/JOHS.2024.41257 e-ISSN: 1658-8967





Effectiveness of Kinesio Taping in Reducing Pain and Edema After Sports Injuries

Saif Mesfer Al-Harthe^{1*}, Mohammed Ali AlQabah², Mari Ali Alshehri³, Fai Mansour Alghafily⁴, Nasra Sultan Alswilih⁵

¹ College of Applied Medical Sciences, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia
² Rehabilitation & Physiotherapy Department, Anak General Hospital, Qatif, Saudi Arabia
³ Rehabilitation & Physiotherapy Department, King Faisal Medical Complex, Taif, Saudi Arabia
⁴ Rehabilitation & Physiotherapy Department, King Abdulaziz Hospital, Mecca, Saudi Arabia
⁵ Allied Health Services - Health Education Department, Dhahran General Hospital, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to **Saif Mesfer Al-Harthe**, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia. Email: <u>saif5717@hotmail.com</u>

Copyright © 2024 **Saif Mesfer Al-Harthe**, this is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 18 December 2024, Reviewed: 29 December 2024, Accepted: 31 December 2024, Published: 31 December 2024.

Abstract

Kinesio Taping (KT) has emerged as a prominent therapeutic technique in sports medicine, recognized for its ability to alleviate pain, reduce edema, and support functional recovery in athletes. By mimicking the elasticity of human skin, KT provides dynamic support while allowing full range of motion, making it particularly advantageous for sports-related injuries. Studies indicate that KT promotes lymphatic drainage and reduces localized swelling by lifting the skin and improving interstitial space. This mechanism aids in the removal of metabolic waste and excess fluids, accelerating the healing process. Clinical applications of KT span a wide range of injuries, including chronic ankle instability, anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries, and shoulder dislocations. Research highlights its effectiveness in enhancing proprioceptive feedback, stabilizing joints, and reducing strain on affected muscles. Comparisons with other interventions, such as rigid taping and dry needling, reveal KT's superior adaptability and comfort for athletes engaged in dynamic activities. Moreover, KT has been shown to complement traditional rehabilitation protocols, offering psychological benefits by boosting confidence and encouraging active participation in recovery. Despite its widespread use, the efficacy of KT can vary based on factors such as application techniques, injury type, and individual patient characteristics. Ongoing research aims to refine protocols and better understand its mechanisms of action. Overall, KT has become an integral tool in sports injury management, offering a non-invasive and effective solution for pain and edema management while supporting functional recovery.

Keywords: Kinesio Taping, sports injuries, pain relief, edema reduction, rehabilitation

Introduction

Kinesio Taping (KT) is a widely recognized rehabilitative technique used in sports medicine to alleviate pain and reduce edema, particularly in athletes recovering from injuries. This therapeutic intervention involves the application of an elastic adhesive tape designed to mimic the properties of human skin, offering support and facilitating natural body movements. Since its development in the 1970s, Kinesio Taping has garnered significant attention for its potential benefits in managing musculoskeletal conditions and enhancing athletic performance (1).

Pain and edema are common consequences of sports injuries, often resulting in prolonged recovery times and impaired functional capabilities. Traditional methods to address these issues, including rest, compression, and pharmacological treatments, often provide symptomatic relief but may not always align with the functional demands of athletes. Kinesio Taping, by contrast, offers a non-invasive and dynamic alternative, aiming to modulate proprioceptive input, improve blood and lymphatic flow, and enhance muscle activation (2). These characteristics make it a particularly attractive option for athletes seeking effective and efficient rehabilitation.

Several theories underlie the proposed mechanisms of KT. One central hypothesis is that the elasticity of the tape lifts the epidermis, creating a space in the subcutaneous tissue that enhances circulation and reduces swelling. This mechanism not only accelerates the healing process but also minimizes the pain perception by altering neural pathways involved in nociception (3). Furthermore, KT is thought to influence the neuromuscular system by promoting muscle function and preventing excessive movement, thereby reducing the risk of re-injury during rehabilitation.

The application of KT has been extensively studied across various sports and injury types, from acute sprains and strains to overuse injuries. Research has highlighted its role in addressing conditions such as lateral ankle sprains, anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries, and rotator cuff pathologies (4).

Journal of Healthcare Sciences

However, despite its growing popularity, the scientific community remains divided on the magnitude of its effectiveness. While some studies suggest substantial improvements in pain and edema management, others emphasize the placebo effect as a significant contributor to perceived benefits. Additionally, the integration of KT with other rehabilitation strategies, such as physical therapy and cognitive-behavioral techniques, has been explored to maximize therapeutic outcomes. This multidisciplinary approach underscores the need for personalized treatment plans that cater to the specific needs and conditions of individual athletes. As a result, understanding the contextual factors influencing the effectiveness of KT is essential for advancing its clinical applications and optimizing recovery protocols (5). This review aims to explore the existing evidence regarding the effectiveness of Kinesio Taping in reducing pain and edema after sports injuries, critically analyzing its mechanisms, applications, and integration with other rehabilitation strategies.

Review

KT has shown promise in reducing pain and edema associated with sports injuries, but its effectiveness continues to be debated. Research highlights the potential benefits of KT in facilitating joint stability and enhancing proprioceptive feedback, particularly in the context of ankle sprains and ligament injuries. A recent study demonstrated that applying KT to acute ankle sprains significantly reduced swelling and improved mobility within the first week of injury, suggesting a direct impact on lymphatic drainage and inflammation modulation (6). This underscores KT's utility in acute injury management when integrated with standard care. Another investigation explored KT's role post-ACL sprains, emphasizing its contribution to reduced edema and improved joint awareness during rehabilitation (7). This study highlighted the importance of application techniques and tension levels, which influence therapeutic outcomes. Additionally, KT's placebo effect cannot be ignored, as patient perception of care may amplify its perceived benefits (8). However, the application of kinesiology tape in terms of pattern and position is still debatable

(Figure 1) (9). Despite these findings, methodological inconsistencies and varying injury contexts make it challenging to establish universal protocols for KT application. Future research should prioritize large-scale randomized controlled trials to better understand KT's efficacy and optimize usage guidelines.



Figure 1: Kinesiology taping of the knee with different patterns (9).

Mechanisms of Kinesio Taping in Pain and Edema Reduction

The effectiveness of KT in managing pain and edema involves intricate physiological mechanisms that extend beyond its superficial application. The elastic properties of the tape are instrumental in altering the subcutaneous environment, which influences lymphatic drainage and reduces fluid accumulation. A key study by Ferreira et al. highlights that KT application facilitates the lifting of the skin, which increases interstitial space and alleviates pressure on lymphatic vessels, promoting fluid mobilization and reducing localized swelling (10).

The interaction between KT and sensory receptors is another significant aspect of its function. When applied over the affected area, the tape stimulates mechanoreceptors and reduces nociceptive input. This phenomenon is attributed to the gate control theory of pain, where non-nociceptive stimuli inhibit the transmission of pain signals to the brain. Bertolini et al. reported that KT's proprioceptive feedback improves neuromuscular control, which not only alleviates pain but also prevents compensatory movements that could exacerbate the injury (11).

In cases of musculoskeletal disorders, KT has been shown to enhance joint stability and reduce muscle tension. Research by Gramatikova underscores how the tape's elasticity allows muscles to maintain their natural range of motion while simultaneously supporting injured tissues. This dual functionality prevents excessive movement and aids in maintaining proper alignment during recovery, contributing to pain relief and faster rehabilitation (12).

KT's role in mitigating edema also involves its effects on blood flow dynamics. Wu et al. demonstrated that KT increases microcirculation through subtle pressure gradients created under the tape. This improved circulation expedites the removal of metabolic waste products from the injury site, further reducing inflammation and discomfort. The authors also emphasized that consistent application during early stages of recovery significantly enhances its therapeutic outcomes (13). The psychological impact of KT application cannot be overlooked, as patient confidence in the intervention plays a critical role in its effectiveness. The visibility of KT provides a placebo effect, which may enhance the patient's perception of recovery and encourage active participation in rehabilitation protocols. This psychological boost physiological mechanisms, complements the resulting in a holistic approach to injury management (14).

Comparison of Kinesio Taping with Other Interventions

Comparing KT with other therapeutic interventions reveals distinct advantages and limitations for each approach in managing pain and edema. A study by Hatefi et al. examined the effects of KT alongside external feedback methods on lower extremity function during rehabilitation. KT demonstrated significant improvements in proprioceptive feedback, which enhanced joint stability and reduced pain, a feature less pronounced in interventions relying solely on traditional exercise regimens (15). Alternative methods such as dry

Journal of Healthcare Sciences

needling, often used for myofascial pain syndrome, focus on direct trigger point release to alleviate pain. Samir et al. conducted a systematic review contrasting dry needling and KT, finding both effective in reducing immediate pain. However, KT offered a broader benefit in terms of functional recovery and mobility, attributed to its simultaneous support and facilitation of natural movement patterns (16). This dual functionality makes KT especially beneficial for dynamic sports-related injuries.

In a clinical trial exploring the management of chronic ankle instability, Fabri compared KT with standard ankle taping. While both interventions provided adequate joint support, KT users reported superior comfort and a greater sense of freedom in motion. Additionally, the elastic properties of KT allowed for prolonged wear without compromising therapeutic effectiveness, a limitation noted in rigid taping techniques (17). Wang et al. evaluated KT against placebo and pharmacological interventions in patients with patellofemoral pain syndrome. The study highlighted KT's comparable efficacy to pharmacological options in reducing pain while avoiding associated side effects. Furthermore, the psychological benefits of KT, including increased confidence and perceived recovery speed, emerged as pivotal, emphasizing the holistic impact of noninvasive treatments (18). Horton and Hawkes assessed the effectiveness of KT relative to physiotherapy in preventing Achilles tendon injuries among gymnasts. KT application reduced the strain on tendons during high-impact activities, achieving results similar to targeted physiotherapy exercises. However, KT's ease of application and immediate impact positioned it as a practical alternative in scenarios requiring rapid intervention (19).

Clinical Efficacy Across Different Types of Sports Injuries

KT has been extensively studied across a variety of sports injuries, with its clinical efficacy depending on the type and severity of the condition. For chronic ankle instability, research highlights its significant benefits in enhancing proprioception and stabilizing joints during athletic activities. Fabri (17) evaluated KT's role in basketball players with chronic ankle instability and found that it helped improve functional outcomes by promoting neuromuscular control and reducing the risk of reinjury. Unlike rigid taping, which restricts motion, KT allows for dynamic movements while still providing support. This feature makes it particularly useful in sports that require frequent pivoting or lateral movements, such as basketball and soccer.

Ligament injuries, particularly those involving the ACL, have also been a focus of KT application. Maginnis et al. (20) explored KT's effects post-ACL reconstruction and highlighted its role in reducing post-surgical pain and edema. By lifting the skin and improving lymphatic flow, KT accelerates the removal of excess fluids, thereby facilitating quicker recovery. While KT does not replace standard rehabilitation protocols, its integration into these programs can enhance overall recovery. Athletes often report improved confidence and earlier return to training when KT is used as part of their post-surgical care.

Foot injuries, especially those resulting from highimpact sports, present unique challenges where KT has demonstrated utility. Sutker et al. (21) investigated the use of KT in managing pain associated with accessory navicular bone injuries in collegiate field hockey players. The tape was applied to redistribute pressure across the foot and alignment, support proper providing both immediate pain relief and long-term benefits in terms of mobility and function. The study emphasized KT's adaptability, as it could be customized to fit the anatomical and biomechanical needs of different foot injuries.

Upper limb injuries, such as tennis elbow and rotator cuff strains, also benefit from KT applications. Mellema (22) reported significant improvements in pain levels and muscle activation among athletes using KT for lateral epicondylitis (tennis elbow). The elastic properties of KT allow for precise targeting of affected muscles and joints, reducing strain and supporting functional recovery without impeding range of motion. This makes KT a valuable option for athletes who must continue using the affected limb during recovery, such as tennis players or swimmers. KT's application in contact sports such as rugby and football has been studied for its role in preventing and managing shoulder injuries. Furthermore, KT does not only reduce pain associated with acute shoulder dislocations but also enhance proprioceptive feedback, which is critical for preventing recurrent injuries (23, 24). By stabilizing the shoulder joint while maintaining flexibility, KT helps athletes maintain performance during rehabilitation periods.

KT has psychological implications that indirectly enhance its efficacy. Many athletes report feeling more secure and confident when KT is applied, even when its biomechanical effects are minimal. This psychological reinforcement encourages adherence to rehabilitation programs and active participation in recovery, ultimately contributing to better outcomes. Across diverse types of sports injuries, KT proves to be a versatile tool, complementing traditional therapies and sometimes offering advantages over other interventions. Its elastic, skin-like properties, coupled with its ability to provide both support and mobility, make it a preferred choice for athletes seeking effective and non-restrictive solutions during recovery.

Conclusion

Kinesio Taping demonstrates versatile applications across various types of sports injuries, offering benefits in pain relief, edema reduction, and functional support. Its elastic properties make it uniquely suited for dynamic movements, complementing traditional rehabilitation methods. While further research is needed to standardize application protocols, KT remains a valuable tool in sports medicine. Its integration into recovery plans can optimize outcomes for athletes across a range of disciplines.

Disclosure

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Funding

No funding.

Ethical consideration

Non applicable.

Data availability

Data that support the findings of this study are embedded within the manuscript.

Author contribution

All authors contributed to conceptualizing, data drafting, collection and final writing of the manuscript.

References

1. Drouin JL, McAlpine CT, Primak KA, Kissel J. The effects of kinesiotape on athletic-based performance outcomes in healthy, active individuals: a literature synthesis. The Journal of the Canadian Chiropractic Association. 2013;57(4):356.

2. Kase K. Clinical therapeutic applications of the Kinesio (! R) taping method. Albuquerque. 2003.

3. Morris D, Jones D, Ryan H, Ryan C. The clinical effects of Kinesio® Tex taping: A systematic review. Physiotherapy theory and practice. 2013;29(4):259-70.

4. Kalron A, Bar-Sela S. A systematic review of the effectiveness of Kinesio Taping-fact or fashion. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2013;49(5):699-709.

5. Parreira PdCS, Costa LdCM, Junior LCH, Lopes AD, Costa LOP. Current evidence does not support the use of Kinesio Taping in clinical practice: a systematic review. Journal of physiotherapy. 2014;60(1):31-9.

6. Ardiansyah J, Wahono AS, Alfiah DN. Effectiveness of Kinesio Tape Administration on Ankle Sprain: Literature Review. International Journal of Social Research. 2024;2(6):254-61.

7. PURI S, RAMTEKE S, KEOLIYA A. A Holistic Accelerated Approach in the Acute Phase for ACL Sprain: A Case Report. Journal of Clinical & Diagnostic Research. 2024;18(8).

8. Reneker JC, Latham L, McGlawn R, Reneker MR. Effectiveness of kinesiology tape on sports

Journal of Healthcare Sciences

performance abilities in athletes: A systematic review. Physical Therapy in Sport. 2018;31:83-98.

9. Labianca L, Andreozzi V, Princi G, Princi AA, Calderaro C, Guzzini M, et al. The effectiveness of Kinesio Taping in improving pain and edema during early rehabilitation after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: A Prospective, Randomized, Control Study. Acta Bio Medica: Atenei Parmensis. 2022;92(6):e2021336.

10. Ferreira R, Resende R, Roriz P. The effects of Kinesio Taping® in lower limb musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review. International Journal of Therapies and Rehabilitation Research. 2017;6(3):1.

11. Artioli DP, Bertolini GRF. Kinesio taping: application and results on pain: systematic review. Fisioterapia e Pesquisa. 2014;21(01):94-9.

12. Gramatikova M. Kinesio-taping effect on edema of knee joint. Research in kinesiology. 2015;43(2):220-3.

13. Wu W-T, Hong C-Z, Chou L-W. The kinesio taping method for myofascial pain control. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2015;2015(1):950519.

14. Vercelli S, Sartorio F, Foti C, Colletto L, Virton D, Ronconi G, et al. Immediate effects of kinesiotaping on quadriceps muscle strength: a single-blind, placebo-controlled crossover trial. Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine. 2012;22(4):319-26.

15. Hatefi M, Hadadnezhad M, Shojaedin S, Babakhani F, Khaleghi Tazji M. Evaluation of the Kinesio Taping and Real-Time External Feedback Effects on Lower Extremity Function During Single-Leg Squat in Individuals With Tibiofemoral Varus Alignment. The Scientific Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine. 2024;13(1):208-23.

16. Samir A, Shafiek Saleh M, Samy H, Hesham Elkhodary H, Emad Fahmy M, Mohamed Tahoon A, et al. Dry needling versus kinesiology taping for myofascial pain syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Manuelle Medizin. 2024:1-6. 17. Fabri M. The effects of taping on basketball athletes with chronic ankle instability: University of Malta; 2024.

18. Wang R, Wang R, Yang Z, Cui Y, Li C, Zhang X, et al. A123: The Study of Intervention Effect of Promoting and Inhibiting Kinesio Tape on Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome. International Journal of Physical Activity and Health. 2024;3(3):123.

19. Horton K, Hawkes A. Incidence of Achilles Tendon Ruptures and Associated Factors in Women's Artistic Gymnastics. Topics in Exercise Science and Kinesiology. 2024;5(1):10.

20. Maginnis C, Root C, Schiavo JH, Ierulli VK, Vopat B, Mulcahey MK. Analysis of Graft Types Augmented With an Internal Brace for ACL Reconstruction: A Systematic Review. The American Journal of Sports Medicine. 2024:03635465231196157.

21. Sutker N, Franco J, Mallory K, Hansberger B. Presentation of an Accessory Navicular Bone in a Collegiate Women's Field Hockey Player: A Type 3 Clinical CASE Study. Clinical Practice in Athletic Training. 2024;7(3):31.

22. Mellema J. Sticky Situation: Taping Versus Exercise in the Battle Against Ankle Sprains: Azusa Pacific University; 2024.

23. Shakeri H, Keshavarz R, Arab AM, Ebrahimi I. Clinical effectiveness of kinesiological taping on pain and pain-free shoulder range of motion in patients with shoulder impingement syndrome: a randomized, double blinded, placebo-controlled trial. International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy. 2013;8(6):800.

24. Deng P, Zhao Z, Zhang S, Xiao T, Li Y. Effect of kinesio taping on hemiplegic shoulder pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Clinical Rehabilitation. 2021;35(3):317-31.