JOURNAL OF HEALTHCARE SCIENCES Volume 4 Issue 12 2024, Article ID: JOHS2024001010 http://dx.doi.org/10.52533/JOHS.2024.41247 e-ISSN: 1658-8967



Review

Causes, Management and Prevention of Orthodontic Discomfort

Khozam Mohammad Alshahrani^{1*}, Waleed Ali Alshehri², Jenan Hassan Alawami³, Hawraa Mohammad Alabbad⁴, Metib Theyab Almutairi⁵, Marwan Mohammed Hawsawi⁶

¹ Dental Department, Khamis Mushait Primary Health Care, Khamis Mushait, Saudi Arabia

² Dental Department, Afif General Hospital, Afif, Saudi Arabia

³ Al-Faisaliah Health Center, Tabuk Primary Health Care Center, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia

⁴ Department of Orthodontics, Second Health Cluster Central Region, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁵ Dental Department, King Saud Hospital, Unaizah Al-Qassim, Saudi Arabia

⁶ College of Dentistry, Alfarabi Colleges, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to **Khozam Mohammad Alshahrani**, Dental Department, Khamis Mushait Primary Health Care, Khamis Mushait, Saudi Arabia. Email: k.m-111@hotmail.com

Copyright © 2024 **Khozam Mohammad Alshahrani**, this is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 11 December 2024, Reviewed: 29 December 2024, Accepted: 30 December 2024, Published: 31 December 2024.

Abstract

Orthodontic discomfort is a significant concern for patients undergoing treatment, arising primarily from the application of mechanical forces that trigger an inflammatory response in the periodontal ligament and surrounding tissues. This discomfort, which often peaks within 24 to 48 hours after appliance activation, is influenced by various factors, including the magnitude of force applied, appliance design, and individual patient variability. Biomechanical forces initiate the release of inflammatory mediators, such as prostaglandins and cytokines, sensitizing nociceptors and leading to pain. Soft tissue irritation caused by brackets, wires, or aligners further exacerbates the experience of discomfort. Management strategies for orthodontic pain focus on pharmacological interventions, including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and alternative approaches like low-level laser therapy and vibratory devices. Behavioral interventions, such as patient education and relaxation techniques, complement these methods, addressing the psychological aspects of pain perception. Advances in orthodontic appliances, including self-ligating brackets and aligners, have improved patient comfort by minimizing friction and optimizing force distribution. Preventive approaches aim to reduce the onset of discomfort through careful treatment planning, improved appliance design, and enhanced oral hygiene protocols. Gradual force application, digital treatment planning, and customized aligners have contributed to a more patient-centered approach, reducing tissue trauma and optimizing outcomes. Emphasis on maintaining periodontal health through professional cleanings and tailored hygiene practices further mitigates secondary discomfort caused by inflammation. Understanding the multifactorial nature of orthodontic discomfort has driven innovations in treatment and prevention, enhancing the overall patient experience. The integration of advanced technologies, personalized care, and effective pain management strategies underscores the progress in reducing discomfort while achieving desired therapeutic results. Ongoing research continues to explore novel interventions to refine these approaches, ensuring a balance between clinical effectiveness and patient comfort.

Keywords: orthodontic discomfort, pain management, orthodontic treatment, preventive strategies, patient-centered care

Introduction

Orthodontic treatment has revolutionized dental care, offering patients improved aesthetics and function. However, discomfort associated with orthodontic procedures remains a significant concern, affecting patient compliance and overall satisfaction. Orthodontic discomfort typically arises from mechanical forces applied to teeth during alignment, which induces pressure on the periodontal ligament, alveolar bone, and surrounding tissues. This discomfort manifests as pain, soreness, or irritation, often peaking within 24 to 48 hours after adjustment or appliance placement and gradually subsiding thereafter (1). Despite its transient nature, orthodontic discomfort is a crucial aspect of treatment that requires focused attention.

Pain during orthodontic treatment is primarily a biological response. The application of force initiates an inflammatory process, leading to the release of prostaglandins, cytokines, and other mediators within the periodontal ligament. These biochemical changes sensitize nociceptors, causing heightened pain perception. Variations in pain intensity are influenced by factors such as age, sex, pain threshold, and the specific orthodontic intervention employed (2). Additionally, friction between oral mucosa and brackets, wires, or aligners can exacerbate discomfort, leading to ulcers and soft tissue injuries. Management of orthodontic discomfort is pivotal for enhancing patient experience and ensuring treatment adherence. Conventional approaches include pharmacological interventions. such as nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), which target the inflammatory cascade to reduce pain. However, concerns regarding prolonged NSAID use and individual variability in response necessitate exploration of alternative strategies. Adjunctive methods. including low-level laser therapy, vibratory devices, and topical anesthetics, have shown promise in alleviating discomfort, albeit with varying levels of efficacy (3). Psychological cognitive-behavioral interventions, such as techniques and patient education, also play a critical role in mitigating pain perception.

Journal of Healthcare Sciences

reduce Preventive measures to orthodontic discomfort focus on optimizing treatment protocols and minimizing mechanical irritation. Advances in appliance design, such as self-ligating brackets and smooth-edged aligners, aim to reduce friction and pressure on oral tissues. Moreover, gradual force application and customized treatment plans tailored to individual pain thresholds can further decrease discomfort. Emphasis on oral hygiene and regular follow-ups minimizes secondary complications, such as gingival inflammation, that may amplify discomfort (4). Understanding and addressing orthodontic discomfort not only enhances patient satisfaction but also contributes to the success of orthodontic treatment. Continued research is essential to refine existing management and preventive strategies, leveraging advances in biomaterials, biomechanics, and patient-centered care. The interplay between biological mechanisms, treatment modalities, and patient psychology underscores the complexity orthodontic of discomfort, necessitating a multifaceted approach for optimal outcomes (5).

Review

Orthodontic discomfort is a multifactorial challenge influenced biological, mechanical, by and psychological factors. The mechanical forces applied during orthodontic treatment initiate an inflammatory response within the periodontal ligament, triggering the release of prostaglandins and cytokines, which sensitize nociceptors and result in pain. This process highlights the intricate relationship between biomechanical forces and the inflammatory pathways contributing to discomfort. Additionally, individual differences, such as age, pain threshold, and hormonal variations, can modulate the severity of discomfort experienced by patients (6).

Management strategies for orthodontic discomfort encompass both pharmacological and nonpharmacological approaches. NSAIDs remain a cornerstone for pain relief due to their ability to interrupt the inflammatory cascade. However, alternative approaches, such as low-level laser therapy and vibratory devices, are gaining popularity as they aim to reduce discomfort without systemic side effects of medication. the Additionally, patient education on the transient nature of pain and methods to manage it, such as dietary adjustments and the use of orthodontic wax, is integral to improving compliance and reducing anxiety associated with treatment (7). Emerging technologies, including self-ligating brackets and aligners, promise reduced friction and enhanced comfort. These innovations. combined with personalized treatment protocols, may pave the way for a more patient-centered approach to orthodontic care.

Etiological Factors Contributing to Orthodontic Discomfort

Orthodontic discomfort is rooted in a complex interplay of biological, mechanical, and individual patient factors. When orthodontic forces are applied, they initiate a cascade of cellular and molecular events within the periodontal ligament and alveolar bone. These forces create compression and tension zones that trigger the release of inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandins, interleukins, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha. These biochemical signals promote bone remodeling, a process necessary for tooth movement, but they also sensitize nociceptors, leading to pain perception (8, 9). The inflammatory process peaks within 24 to 48 hours of appliance activation, correlating with the period of greatest discomfort reported by patients.

Mechanical irritation is another key contributor to discomfort during orthodontic treatment. Brackets, wires, and other appliances often cause friction against the oral mucosa, resulting in soft tissue lesions. Poorly fitted appliances or sharp edges exacerbate these injuries, while high-friction systems can amplify discomfort through excessive pressure on the teeth and supporting structures. Research suggests that advancements in appliance design, such as low-friction brackets and aligners, can reduce this issue, although individual variations in tissue response may still play a role (9).

Patient-specific factors also significantly influence the experience of discomfort. Age, for instance, has been shown to modulate pain perception during orthodontic treatment. Adolescents and young adults generally exhibit heightened sensitivity compared to older patients, likely due to the more robust inflammatory response associated with younger periodontal tissues. Hormonal fluctuations, particularly during menstruation or pregnancy, may further exacerbate discomfort, reflecting the influence of systemic factors on localized pain pathways. Additionally, psychological factors, such as anxiety and stress, can amplify pain perception, demonstrating the importance of addressing emotional well-being during treatment (10).

The type and magnitude of orthodontic force also determine the severity of discomfort experienced. Excessive or abrupt forces can overstimulate the periodontal ligament, leading to greater release of inflammatory mediators and increased pressure on nerves and blood vessels. Gradual force application, on the other hand, not only optimizes tooth but also minimizes movement trauma to surrounding tissues. Studies have highlighted the advantages of controlled-force systems, including the use of nickel-titanium wires, which maintain consistent pressure and reduce the peaks of discomfort often associated with conventional stainless-steel systems (11, 12). While the underlying causes of orthodontic discomfort are diverse, they underscore the intricate relationship between biomechanical forces, biological responses, and individual variability. Bv understanding these etiological factors, clinicians can adopt a more tailored approach to treatment, aiming to minimize discomfort while ensuring optimal therapeutic outcomes.

Strategies for Effective Management of Orthodontic Pain

Managing pain during orthodontic treatment requires a multifaceted approach that addresses both the physiological and psychological dimensions of discomfort. Pharmacological interventions have long been a mainstay for alleviating orthodontic pain, with NSAIDs being the most commonly recommended option. These medications work by inhibiting cyclooxygenase enzymes, thereby reducing the production of prostaglandins, which are key mediators of the inflammatory response.

Journal of Healthcare Sciences

Studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of ibuprofen in minimizing pain after the application of orthodontic forces, particularly when administered prophylactically before adjustments (13). However, concerns about long-term use and systemic side effects highlight the need for alternative or adjunctive therapies.

Low-level laser therapy (LLLT) has emerged as a promising non-invasive technique for managing orthodontic pain. By modulating cellular activity and reducing inflammation, LLLT has been shown to alleviate discomfort while potentially enhancing tissue repair and bone remodeling. The therapeutic effects are attributed to increased mitochondrial activity and improved local circulation. Clinical trials suggest that patients receiving LLLT report reduced pain intensity compared to those undergoing traditional treatment protocols, making it an appealing option for both clinicians and patients (14). Despite these benefits, accessibility and cost remain challenges in the widespread adoption of this technology.

Behavioral and psychological strategies also play an integral role in the management of orthodontic pain. Educating patients about the expected course of discomfort and providing reassurance can significantly reduce anxiety, which has been shown to amplify pain perception. Relaxation techniques, cognitive behavioral therapy, and distraction methods, such as listening to music or engaging in enjoyable activities, are effective in mitigating discomfort. Patient compliance with these strategies often depends on the clarity and consistency of communication from the orthodontist, underscoring the importance of a strong patient-provider relationship (15).

Advances in appliance design and treatment protocols offer additional avenues for reducing pain. The use of shape-memory alloys, such as nickeltitanium wires, allows for the application of lighter, continuous forces, minimizing tissue trauma and pain. Similarly, self-ligating brackets, which reduce friction between the wire and bracket, have been associated with less discomfort during activation. Evidence suggests that incorporating gradual force

extended intervals between application and adjustments may further improve patient comfort. These modifications align with the broader trend toward personalized orthodontic care, emphasizing the need for tailored treatment plans that account for individual pain thresholds and preferences (4). Innovative approaches to managing orthodontic pain continue to evolve, reflecting a growing emphasis on patient-centered care and the integration of new technologies. By combining non-pharmacological, pharmacological, and behavioral interventions, clinicians can address the multifactorial nature of orthodontic discomfort while enhancing the overall treatment experience.

Preventive Approaches to Minimize Orthodontic Discomfort

Minimizing discomfort during orthodontic treatment requires a proactive approach that integrates biomechanical principles, patient education, and advancements in materials and technology. Careful planning of orthodontic force application stands out as a fundamental preventive The controlled delivery of light, measure. continuous forces has been shown to minimize the intensity of the inflammatory response in the periodontal ligament. This approach not only reduces the release of pain mediators but also predictable tooth movement. ensures more Evidence supports the use of nickel-titanium wires and other low-force systems for reducing patient discomfort compared to traditional stainless steel wires that deliver higher initial forces (16, 17).

Optimized appliance design plays a critical role in irritation preventing and pressure-related discomfort. Brackets with smooth edges, aligners with precise fits, and self-ligating systems that reduce friction have demonstrated significant improvements in patient comfort. Self-ligating brackets, for instance, facilitate efficient force distribution and decrease frictional resistance. ultimately lessening soft tissue irritation and pain. Aligners, particularly those made of advanced polymers, have further reduced instances of mucosal injuries while offering comparable clinical outcomes to fixed appliances (18). Maintaining oral hygiene is a vital component in preventing

secondary sources of discomfort, such as gingival inflammation and oral ulcers. Inadequate oral hygiene during orthodontic treatment can exacerbate soft tissue irritation and amplify discomfort. Effective oral hygiene protocols tailored to orthodontic patients, including the use of interdental brushes, water flossers, and fluoridecontaining rinses, help maintain periodontal health and prevent inflammation. Regular professional cleanings during the course of treatment further aid in controlling biofilm accumulation, particularly in areas that are challenging to clean around orthodontic appliances (19).

Emerging technologies, such as digital workflows in orthodontics, have also contributed to reducing discomfort through precision and customization. Digital treatment planning enables orthodontists to simulate tooth movement and force plan applications with high accuracy, reducing the risk of overcorrection unnecessary adjustments. or Customized aligners and indirect bonding techniques for brackets have leveraged these advancements to optimize appliance fit and minimize pressure points. As a result, the incorporation of digital orthodontic systems has not only enhanced treatment efficiency but also improved the comfort experienced by patients (20). Preventive strategies in orthodontics emphasize a balance between clinical outcomes and patient comfort. Bv integrating innovations in biomechanics, materials, and patient-centered care, the orthodontic experience is continually being refined to prioritize comfort while maintaining therapeutic effectiveness.

Conclusion

Orthodontic discomfort, while a natural part of significantly treatment. can impact patient compliance and satisfaction. Addressing its etiological factors, employing effective management strategies, and implementing preventive approaches are essential for enhancing the patient's experience. Advances in technology, personalized care, and education continue to refine orthodontic practices. Future research should focus

on innovative methods to further reduce discomfort while maintaining clinical efficacy.

Disclosure

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Funding

No funding.

Ethical consideration

Non applicable.

Data availability

Data that support the findings of this study are embedded within the manuscript.

Author contribution

All authors contributed to conceptualizing, data drafting, collection and final writing of the manuscript.

References

1. Lin W, Farella M, Antoun JS, Topless RK, Merriman TR, Michelotti A. Factors associated with orthodontic pain. Journal of oral rehabilitation. 2021;48(10):1135-43.

2. Bergius M, Kiliaridis S, Berggren U. Pain in orthodontics. A review and discussion of the literature. Journal of Orofacial Orthopedics= Fortschritte der Kieferorthopadie: Organ/official Journal Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Kieferorthopadie. 2000;61(2):125-37.

3. Fleming PS, Strydom H, Katsaros C, MacDonald L, Curatolo M, Fudalej P, et al. Non-pharmacological interventions for alleviating pain during orthodontic treatment. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2016(12).

4. Chen SS-H, Greenlee GM, Kim J-E, Smith CL, Huang GJ. Systematic review of self-ligating brackets. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2010;137(6):726. e1-. e18.

Journal of Healthcare Sciences

5. Krishnan V. Orthodontic pain: from causes to management—a review. The European Journal of Orthodontics. 2007;29(2):170-9.

6. Ngan P, Wilson S, Shanfeld J, Amini H. The effect of ibuprofen on the level of discomfort inpatients undergoing orthodontic treatment. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 1994;106(1):88-95.

7. Roth PM, Thrash WJ. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for controlling pain associated with orthodontic tooth movement. American journal of orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 1986;90(2):132-8.

8. Yamasaki K, Shibata Y, Imai S, Tani Y, Shibasaki Y, Fukuhara T. Clinical application of prostaglandin E1 (PGE1) upon orthodontic tooth movement. American Journal of Orthodontics. 1984;85(6):508-18.

9. Yamaguchi M, Garlet GP. Inflammatory Response in the Periodontal Ligament and Dental Pulp During Orthodontic Tooth Movement. Biological Mechanisms of Tooth Movement. 2021:49-67.

10. Scheurer PA, Firestone AR, Bürgin WB. Perception of pain as a result of orthodontic treatment with fixed appliances. The European Journal of Orthodontics. 1996;18(1):349-57.

11. Regulska K, Ward D, Zadurska M, editors. The concept of directional force mechanics–a literature review. Forum Ortodontyczne/Orthodontic Forum; 2024: Termedia.

12. Polat Ö, editor Pain and discomfort after orthodontic appointments. Seminars in orthodontics; 2007: Elsevier.

13. Polat O, Karaman AI. Pain control during fixed orthodontic appliance therapy. The Angle Orthodontist. 2005;75(2):214-9.

14. Tortamano A, Lenzi DC, Haddad ACSS, Bottino MC, Dominguez GC, Vigorito JW. Lowlevel laser therapy for pain caused by placement of the first orthodontic archwire: a randomized clinical trial. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2009;136(5):662-7.

15. Giannopoulou C, Dudic A, Kiliaridis S. Pain discomfort and crevicular fluid changes induced by orthodontic elastic separators in children. The Journal of Pain. 2006;7(5):367-76.

16. Jones M, Chan C. The pain and discomfort experienced during orthodntic treatment: A randomized controlled clinical trial of two intial aligning arch wires. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 1992;102(4):373-81.

17. Ong E, Ho C, Miles P. Alignment efficiency and discomfort of three orthodontic archwire sequences: a randomized clinical trial. Journal of orthodontics. 2011;38(1):32-9.

18. Pandis N, Polychronopoulou A, Eliades T. Selfligating vs conventional brackets in the treatment of mandibular crowding: a prospective clinical trial of treatment duration and dental effects. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2007;132(2):208-15.

19. Bollen A-M, Cunha-Cruz J, Bakko DW, Huang GJ, Hujoel PP. The effects of orthodontic therapy on periodontal health: a systematic review of controlled evidence. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 2008;139(4):413-22.

20. Boyd RL. Complex orthodontic treatment using a new protocol for the Invisalign appliance. Journal of clinical orthodontics: JCO. 2007;41(9):525-3.