

## Review

# Ethics of Organ Donation and Transplant Allocation Policies

Malak Meshary Alnaim<sup>1\*</sup>, Manar Ali Alqahtani<sup>2</sup>, Nada Fahad Alsultan<sup>1</sup>, Ziad Abdulmoti Alruwaithi<sup>3</sup>,  
Hatim Khalid Alhazmi<sup>4</sup>, Mohammed Anwar Alalwani<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Prince Saud Bin Jalawi Hospital, Al Mubarraz, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup> General Practice, King Abdullah Medical Complex, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup> Department of Emergency Medicine, Ajyad Emergency Hospital, Mecca, Saudi Arabia

<sup>4</sup> Department of Anesthesia, King Fahad General Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>5</sup> Emergency Medical Services, King Abdulaziz Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to **Malak Meshary Alnaim**, Department of Internal Medicine, Prince Saud Bin Jalawi Hospital, Al Mubarraz, Saudi Arabia. Email: [malak\\_meshary@hotmail.com](mailto:malak_meshary@hotmail.com)

Copyright © 2024 **Malak Meshary Alnaim**, this is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 13 December 2024, Reviewed: 25 December 2024, Accepted: 29 December 2024, Published: 30 December 2024.

### Abstract

Organ donation and transplantation have transformed modern medicine by offering life-saving solutions for patients with end-stage organ failure. Despite these advances, a significant gap persists between organ demand and availability, raising complex ethical challenges. Central to these challenges are principles of autonomy, altruism, and informed consent, which guide the decision-making process in organ donation. While presumed consent policies aim to address shortages, they have sparked debates regarding respect for individual autonomy and cultural differences. Similarly, incentivized donation programs, although promising, pose ethical dilemmas around coercion and exploitation. In transplant allocation, the balance between equity and utility remains contentious. Allocation systems strive to provide fair access while maximizing the overall benefit by prioritizing patients likely to achieve favorable outcomes. However, socioeconomic and geographic disparities often result in inequitable access to transplantation, disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations. Broader sharing policies and tailored scoring systems have been introduced to mitigate these disparities, but challenges in their implementation persist. Cultural and socioeconomic factors further influence ethical decision-making, impacting public perceptions of organ donation and the ability to provide informed consent. Cultural beliefs, language barriers, and socioeconomic inequities hinder donation rates and exacerbate disparities in access to transplantation. Educational campaigns and policy reforms that address these barriers are crucial for fostering trust and promoting equitable practices. Advancements in medical science, such as xenotransplantation and bioprinting, offer potential solutions to alleviate organ shortages but introduce additional ethical challenges. These include concerns related to safety, equitable access, and the risk of exacerbating existing disparities. A holistic approach to organ donation and allocation should incorporate ethical principles, acknowledge cultural differences, and address systemic inequalities. Such efforts are essential to ensuring that organ transplantation evolves in a manner that is both fair and sustainable.

**Keywords:** organ donation, transplant allocation, ethics, equity, informed consent

## **Introduction**

Organ transplantation represents one of the most significant advancements in modern medicine, offering life-saving opportunities to patients with end-stage organ failure. The increasing demand for organ transplants, however, has outpaced the availability of suitable donors, leading to a critical global shortage (1). This disparity raises profound ethical dilemmas surrounding organ donation and allocation policies. Central to these challenges are questions about fairness, justice, and the moral obligations of individuals and societies. Organ donation is governed by ethical principles such as autonomy, altruism, and informed consent. While some individuals willingly donate their organs to save or improve the lives of others, others face barriers rooted in cultural, religious, or societal beliefs. The introduction of policies such as presumed consent and incentivized donations aims to address the donor shortage, yet these approaches remain contentious due to their ethical implications (2). Additionally, the role of living donors continues to grow, raising concerns about the risks and pressures they may face when providing an organ.

Transplant allocation systems strive to balance equity and utility. Equity ensures that every patient, regardless of socioeconomic status, has a fair chance of receiving a transplant. Meanwhile, utility seeks to maximize the overall benefit by prioritizing patients who are most likely to achieve favorable outcomes. Achieving this balance is complicated by the diverse medical, social, and logistical factors involved. For instance, allocation policies often consider factors such as blood type compatibility, severity of illness, and geographical proximity to the donor organ. However, these criteria may inadvertently disadvantage certain groups, perpetuating systemic inequities (3).

The ethical challenges extend further into cultural and socioeconomic domains. In many countries, cultural beliefs significantly influence perceptions of organ donation. Misconceptions, distrust in healthcare systems, and religious concerns often hinder donation rates. Similarly, socioeconomic disparities play a critical role in transplant

accessibility. Wealthier patients may have better access to medical resources and expedited evaluations, while disadvantaged groups face systemic barriers, including limited access to transplant centers and insufficient healthcare coverage (4).

Emerging practices and technologies, such as xenotransplantation and artificial organ development, offer potential solutions to the organ shortage crisis. However, these advancements introduce new ethical considerations, including concerns about animal rights and long-term safety. Policymakers, ethicists, and healthcare providers must collaborate to address these evolving challenges while ensuring that organ donation and allocation systems remain transparent, equitable, and ethically sound (5). This review aims to provide a comprehensive examination of the ethical principles and challenges that underpin organ donation and transplant allocation policies.

## **Review**

The ethical complexities surrounding organ donation and transplant allocation remain a critical area of debate. One key issue is the principle of informed consent in organ donation. While policies such as presumed consent aim to increase donor numbers, they raise ethical concerns about whether individuals truly understand or support organ donation. Critics argue that presumed consent may undermine autonomy, especially in populations with limited access to education or awareness campaigns (6). Balancing the need to save lives with respect for personal choice requires a nuanced approach that prioritizes informed decision-making and effective public education.

Equity in transplant allocation is another pressing ethical challenge. Despite efforts to ensure fairness, disparities persist due to systemic inequalities. Socioeconomic status often influences access to the transplant waiting list and post-operative care, potentially skewing outcomes in favor of affluent patients. Moreover, organ allocation criteria, such as geographical proximity, may inadvertently disadvantage rural or underserved populations. Addressing these inequities necessitates policy

reforms that consider the broader social determinants of health (7). Emerging technologies, such as bioprinting and xenotransplantation, offer potential solutions to the organ shortage but introduce additional ethical dilemmas. Policymakers must ensure that these innovations are developed and implemented in a manner that upholds ethical standards while addressing global disparities in access to transplantation.

### ***Ethical Principles in Organ Donation: Autonomy, Altruism, and Consent***

Ethical considerations in organ donation are deeply rooted in the principles of autonomy, altruism, and informed consent. These principles serve as the foundation for policies and practices that govern how organs are donated and procured, ensuring respect for individual rights while addressing the urgent need for organs. Autonomy is a cornerstone of ethical organ donation. It underscores the importance of allowing individuals to make independent and informed decisions about donating their organs. Organ donation decisions should be made free from coercion, whether from family, societal pressures, or institutional influence. The challenge arises when policies such as presumed consent are implemented, where individuals are assumed to be willing organ donors unless they explicitly opt out. While presumed consent has been linked to higher donation rates, it raises ethical concerns about whether it genuinely respects individual autonomy, especially in societies where awareness of such policies is limited (6, 8). A clear and transparent public education campaign is necessary to ensure that people are fully informed about their rights and options, thereby preserving the integrity of autonomous decision-making.

The principle of altruism plays a significant role in the moral foundation of organ donation. The act of donating organs, particularly by living donors, is often motivated by a desire to help others without expecting anything in return. However, debates continue about whether altruism alone is sufficient to address the growing organ shortage. Some argue that incentivized donation programs, such as offering financial compensation or other benefits, could encourage more people to donate. Yet, such

programs raise ethical concerns about exploitation, particularly of economically disadvantaged individuals who may feel compelled to donate for financial reasons (9). Altruism as a guiding principle seeks to maintain the voluntary and selfless nature of donation, while the introduction of incentives requires careful regulation to prevent the erosion of ethical standards.

Informed consent is central to ethical organ donation, ensuring that individuals are fully aware of the implications of their decision. Informed consent processes should provide clear information about the medical procedures involved, potential risks, and the rights of the donor or their family. The complexity arises when this principle is applied across diverse cultural and societal contexts. For instance, in some cultures, discussions around death and organ donation may be taboo, limiting the effectiveness of informed consent procedures. Additionally, language barriers and limited access to healthcare information can hinder understanding, making it essential for healthcare providers to adapt their communication strategies to the needs of different populations (10). Consent processes that are inclusive and culturally sensitive are necessary to uphold the ethical principle of informed decision-making.

A related challenge is the ethical handling of cases where the donor's wishes are unclear or contested. Families often play a pivotal role in these situations, particularly in opt-in systems where explicit donor consent is required. Disputes between a donor's expressed wishes and their family's opposition can create ethical dilemmas for healthcare providers. In some jurisdictions, family consent is required even when the deceased has registered as a donor, which can lead to lower donation rates. Efforts to balance respect for the donor's autonomy with family dynamics require sensitive mediation and clear legal frameworks (11). Organ donation policies must continually evolve to address these ethical principles in practice. While autonomy, altruism, and informed consent provide a moral framework, the implementation of these principles in diverse healthcare systems and cultural contexts presents ongoing challenges. By maintaining a commitment

to these ethical foundations, organ donation can uphold the dignity and rights of donors while addressing the critical needs of recipients.

### ***Balancing Equity and Utility in Transplant Allocation***

The ethical dilemma of balancing equity and utility in organ transplant allocation has been a subject of extensive debate. Equity emphasizes fairness in providing equal opportunities for all eligible patients to access transplantation, while utility focuses on maximizing the overall benefit by prioritizing recipients who are most likely to achieve favorable outcomes. Striking a balance between these principles is crucial to ensure a just and effective organ allocation system. Equity in transplant allocation is grounded in the principle of justice, which requires that all patients have an equal opportunity to receive a transplant, regardless of their socioeconomic status, geographic location, or demographic characteristics. Yet, significant disparities persist, often disadvantaging marginalized populations. Studies reveal that patients from lower-income groups are less likely to be listed for transplantation, partly due to barriers such as inadequate access to healthcare, lack of transportation, and limited knowledge about transplant eligibility criteria (12). Addressing these inequities requires systemic changes, including policies that improve access to transplantation for underserved populations and ensure fairness in the evaluation and listing processes.

Geographic disparities also highlight challenges to equity in organ allocation. Organs are typically allocated within defined geographic regions to minimize ischemic times and ensure successful transplantation outcomes. However, this practice may disadvantage patients living in areas with low donation rates or limited access to transplant centers. The introduction of broader sharing policies has been proposed to mitigate these disparities by increasing the geographic reach of organ distribution (13). While such policies may enhance equity, they also raise logistical and financial challenges, as transporting organs over longer distances requires additional resources and coordination.

Utility, on the other hand, prioritizes maximizing the overall success of organ transplantation by focusing on factors such as recipient survival rates and graft function. Allocation systems often use scoring algorithms, such as the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score or the Kidney Donor Profile Index (KDPI), to identify patients most likely to benefit from a transplant. However, an exclusive focus on utility can lead to unintended consequences, such as deprioritizing patients with complex medical conditions or those deemed less likely to achieve long-term survival (14). This raises ethical concerns about whether prioritizing utility undermines the principles of justice and equity in organ allocation. One of the most debated aspects of transplant allocation is the consideration of non-medical factors, such as a patient's lifestyle or adherence to medical advice. For instance, some argue that patients with a history of non-adherence to medical regimens or substance abuse should receive lower priority for transplantation, as their behavior might jeopardize the success of the transplant. However, such policies risk stigmatizing vulnerable populations and may exacerbate existing disparities. Instead, efforts should focus on providing comprehensive support and rehabilitation programs to ensure that all patients have an equal opportunity to benefit from transplantation (15).

The interplay between equity and utility becomes even more complex when considering pediatric and elderly transplant candidates. Children, for example, often require specialized allocation systems due to their unique physiological needs and the potential for longer post-transplant survival. At the same time, elderly patients may face implicit biases that lower their priority despite their eligibility and potential to benefit from transplantation. Tailoring allocation policies to address the specific needs of different demographic groups while maintaining overall fairness remains a significant ethical and practical challenge.

### ***Cultural and Socioeconomic Factors Influencing Ethical Decision-Making***

Cultural and socioeconomic factors profoundly shape ethical decision-making in organ donation and transplantation. These influences affect

perceptions of altruism, the ability to provide informed consent, and access to transplantation, creating disparities that challenge the ethical frameworks governing these practices. Cultural beliefs play a pivotal role in shaping attitudes toward organ donation. In some cultures, traditional or religious practices emphasize the sanctity of the body, leading to hesitancy or outright opposition to organ donation. For example, the concept of bodily integrity after death is a significant barrier in certain communities, where the removal of organs is perceived as interfering with spiritual beliefs or rituals (16). Religious authorities and community leaders often influence these perceptions, highlighting the need for culturally sensitive public awareness campaigns to address misconceptions and encourage donation within the framework of local traditions and values. Language barriers and literacy levels further complicate the process of obtaining informed consent in diverse cultural settings. When patients or families lack proficiency in the dominant language of medical communication, they may struggle to fully understand the implications of organ donation. Studies have shown that translation services and culturally tailored educational materials can significantly improve comprehension and trust in the healthcare system (17). However, the availability of such resources remains inconsistent, particularly in regions with limited healthcare funding or infrastructure.

Socioeconomic status is another critical determinant of ethical decision-making in organ donation and transplantation. Economic disparities influence access to healthcare services, including the ability to join transplant waiting lists or afford the post-operative care required for successful transplantation. Wealthier individuals often have better access to private healthcare systems and expedited evaluations, while economically disadvantaged populations face barriers such as long waiting times and limited access to transplant centers (18). These inequities raise ethical questions about fairness and justice, particularly in systems where organ allocation may inadvertently favor those with greater resources. The commercialization

of organ donation, whether legal or illicit, adds another layer of complexity to the socioeconomic factors at play. In some countries, financial incentives for organ donation have been introduced as a means of addressing shortages. While such systems aim to increase donation rates, they often disproportionately target low-income individuals who may view organ donation as an economic necessity rather than an altruistic act. This raises ethical concerns about exploitation and coercion, challenging the principle of voluntary consent. At the same time, illegal organ markets thrive in regions where poverty is widespread, exacerbating health risks for vulnerable donors and perpetuating inequities in access to transplantation (19).

The role of education in bridging cultural and socioeconomic gaps cannot be overstated. Public awareness campaigns that are culturally sensitive and accessible to diverse socioeconomic groups have the potential to improve donation rates and enhance ethical practices. These campaigns should address not only the medical benefits of organ donation but also the ethical principles that underpin it, fostering a more informed and equitable system.

## **Conclusion**

Organ donation and transplant allocation policies require careful consideration of ethical principles, cultural sensitivities, and socioeconomic disparities. Balancing autonomy, altruism, and equity with the practical demands of maximizing outcomes is essential. Addressing these challenges necessitates transparent, inclusive, and culturally aware frameworks. Continued collaboration between policymakers, healthcare providers, and communities is vital to ensure fairness and trust in organ transplantation systems.

## **Disclosure**

### ***Conflict of interest***

There is no conflict of interest.

### ***Funding***

No funding.

**Ethical consideration**

Non applicable.

**Data availability**

Data that support the findings of this study are embedded within the manuscript.

**Author contribution**

All authors contributed to conceptualizing, data drafting, collection and final writing of the manuscript.

**References**

1. Abouna GM. Organ shortage crisis: problems and possible solutions. *Transplantation proceedings*. 2008;40(1):34-8.
2. Meena P, Kute VB, Bhargava V, Mondal R, Agarwal SK. Social media and organ donation: Pros and cons. *Indian Journal of Nephrology*. 2023;33(1):4-11.
3. Rodger D, Hurst DJ, Cooper DK. Xenotransplantation: A historical–ethical account of viewpoints. *Xenotransplantation*. 2023;30(2):e12797.
4. Toews M, Chandler JA, Pope T, Pape R, Weiss M, Sandiumenge A. Legislation and policy recommendations on organ and tissue donation and transplantation from an international consensus forum. *Transplantation Direct*. 2023;9(5):e1395.
5. Bunnik EM. Ethics of allocation of donor organs. Current opinion in organ transplantation. 2023;28(3):192-6.
6. Garcia VD, Pestana JOMdA, Pêgo-Fernandes PM. Organ donation consent after death. *SciELO Brasil*; 2024. p. e20241422.
7. McMichael BJ. Inequitable Organ Allocation. U of Alabama Legal Studies Research Paper. 2024(101):58.
8. Baumann A, Nguyen S, Claudot F. Presumed Consent to Organ Donation and the Role of the Relatives: An ICU Psychologists’ Survey. Available at SSRN 4375672.
9. Semrau L, editor *Kidney Sales and Disrespectful Demands: A Reply to Rippon*. The Journal of Medicine and Philosophy: A Forum for Bioethics and Philosophy of Medicine; 2024: Oxford University Press US.
10. Birtan D, Akpinar A. Ethical challenges in organ transplants for refugees in a healthcare system. *Nursing Ethics*. 2024:09697330241230528.
11. Carola V, Morale C, Vincenzo C, Cecchi V, Errico L, Nicolais G. Organ donation: psychosocial factors of the decision-making process. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2023;14:1111328.
12. Ross-Driscoll K, McElroy LM, Adler JT. Geography, inequities, and the social determinants of health in transplantation. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2023;11:1286810.
13. Gentry SE, Chow EK, Wickliffe CE, Massie AB, Leighton T, Segev DL. Impact of broader sharing on the transport time for deceased donor livers. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society*. 2014;20(10):1237-43.
14. Malamon JS, Kaplan B, Jackson WE, Saben JL, Schold JD, Pomfret EA, et al. Reassessing the survival benefit of deceased donor liver transplantation: retrospective cohort study. *International Journal of Surgery*. 2023;109(9):2714-20.
15. Pillai A, Verna EC, Parikh ND, Cooper M, Thiessen C, Heimbach J, et al. Financial, policy, and ethical barriers to the expansion of living donor liver transplant: Meeting report from a living donor liver transplant consensus conference. *Clinical transplantation*. 2023;37(7):e14955.
16. Al-Abdulghani A, Vincent BP, Randhawa G, Cook E, Fadhil R. Barriers and facilitators of deceased organ donation among Muslims living globally: An integrative systematic review. *Transplantation Reviews*. 2024:100874.
17. Boadu P, McLaughlin L, Noyes J, O’Neill S, Al-Haboubi M, Williams L, et al. Subgroup differences in public attitudes, preferences and self-reported

behaviour related to deceased organ donation before and after the introduction of the 'soft'opt-out consent system in England: mixed-methods study. *BMC health services research*. 2024;24(1):1447.

18. Ullah K, Dogar AW, Ochani S, Shoaib A, Shah HH, Rehman MEU. Obstacles to the deceased donor transplantation in Pakistan. *BMJ Open Gastroenterology*. 2023;10(1):e001101.

19. Atreya A, Upreti M, Menezes RG, Dawadi A, Subedi N. Organ transplantation in Nepal: Ethical, legal, and practical issues. *Developing World Bioethics*. 2023;23(3):285-92.