

Review

Social Media and the Spread of Medical Misinformation Ethical Responsibilities of Healthcare Providers

Abdulrahman Ahmed Asiri^{1*}, Wael Saeed Alharbi², Jehan Hamod Alhazmi², Abdalmjeed Hadram Alsahli², Moataz Ali Bajaber³, Alaa Mohammed Essawi⁴

¹ Primary Health Care, Al Sodah PHC, Abha, Saudi Arabia

² Directorate of Health Affairs, Ministry of Health, Medina, Saudi Arabia

³ General Practice, Al Noor Specialist Hospital, Mecca, Saudi Arabia

⁴ Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, King Abdulaziz Hospital, Mecca, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to **Abdulrahman Ahmed Asiri**, Primary Health Care, Al Sodah PHC, Abha, Saudi Arabia. Email: aasiri226@moh.gov.sa

Copyright © 2024 **Abdulrahman Ahmed Asiri**, this is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 11 December 2024, Reviewed: 20 December 2024, Accepted: 29 December 2024, Published: 30 December 2024.

Abstract

Medical misinformation on social media has emerged as a critical challenge for public health, undermining trust in healthcare systems and promoting harmful practices. The rapid spread of unverified health information is fueled by social media algorithms prioritizing engagement over accuracy, creating echo chambers that reinforce misinformation. This phenomenon poses significant ethical dilemmas for healthcare providers, who must balance their responsibility to correct false claims with maintaining professional integrity and patient trust. Engaging with misinformation requires careful navigation of reputational risks, time constraints, and the emotional dynamics of online interactions. Healthcare providers are uniquely positioned to address misinformation by leveraging their expertise and public trust, but effective strategies require collaboration with social media platforms and systemic changes. Measures such as enhancing digital health literacy, promoting verified professional accounts, and utilizing multimedia content have proven effective in countering misinformation. Training healthcare providers in digital communication skills enables them to engage more effectively, while proactive dissemination of evidence-based content can prevent the spread of false claims. Furthermore, partnerships between healthcare organizations and technology companies play a vital role in moderating content and amplifying accurate information. Addressing misinformation also requires a focus on health literacy among the public, empowering individuals to critically evaluate online health information. Predictive tools and trend analyses can help healthcare organizations identify emerging misinformation and respond with timely, accurate content. By fostering trust, enhancing communication, and implementing multifaceted strategies, healthcare providers and organizations can mitigate the impact of medical misinformation and protect public health. The integration of these approaches underscores the need for a collective effort to navigate the ethical and practical complexities of combating misinformation in the digital age.

Keywords: *Medical misinformation, social media, healthcare ethics, digital health literacy, public trust*

Introduction

The advent of social media has revolutionized communication, enabling unprecedented connectivity and information sharing. Platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok have grown into prominent sources of health information for millions globally. While these platforms have empowered users by offering access to diverse perspectives and healthcare updates, they have also become breeding grounds for medical misinformation. The rapid dissemination of unverified and often inaccurate information has posed significant challenges to public health and clinical practice (1). Medical misinformation on social media can lead to harmful outcomes, including the refusal of critical medical interventions, increased skepticism toward evidence-based practices, and the perpetuation of stigma against certain diseases or treatments.

The ethical responsibilities of healthcare providers in combating misinformation are immense. Historically, the medical profession has relied on trust, evidence-based guidelines, and clear communication to guide patient care. However, the digital age has complicated these efforts, with the spread of misinformation often outpacing attempts to correct it. Health misinformation is not limited to fringe platforms or obscure corners of the internet. It frequently appears on mainstream social media, often cloaked in pseudo-scientific language or supported by anecdotal evidence, making it challenging for the general population to discern credible information from misleading claims (2). Such misinformation can severely undermine public health initiatives, as evidenced during the COVID-19 pandemic, where myths about vaccines, treatments, and the virus itself had far-reaching consequences.

Compounding this issue is the algorithmic nature of social media platforms, which prioritize engagement over accuracy. Posts with sensationalized content are often amplified due to their ability to provoke emotional reactions, thereby reaching larger audiences more quickly than factual posts. This phenomenon creates echo chambers

where misinformation thrives, fostering mistrust in healthcare providers and institutions. Research has highlighted the significant role of these algorithms in promoting medical misinformation, underscoring the urgent need for intervention at both systemic and individual levels (3).

The ethical responsibility of healthcare providers extends beyond the clinical setting. In the digital age, they are called upon to actively engage with social media, correct misinformation, and educate the public. This task is not without challenges, as it requires a balance between professional obligations, maintaining credibility, and navigating potential legal and reputational risks. Moreover, the global nature of social media adds layers of complexity, as misinformation may transcend geographical boundaries and cultural contexts. Despite these hurdles, the involvement of healthcare professionals in digital health communication is essential for preserving the integrity of public health knowledge (4).

Review

Social media has become a double-edged sword in healthcare communication. While it allows for the rapid dissemination of valuable health information, it simultaneously facilitates the spread of medical misinformation. Studies have shown that misinformation spreads more rapidly than accurate information on social media platforms, primarily due to algorithms designed to prioritize engaging content rather than factual accuracy (5). This phenomenon has led to significant public health challenges, including vaccine hesitancy, the promotion of ineffective treatments, and distrust in healthcare professionals.

Healthcare providers are uniquely positioned to address misinformation due to their expertise and public trust. However, their involvement in combating misinformation on social media is often hindered by time constraints, lack of digital media training, and fear of reputational risks. Research highlights the importance of healthcare professionals actively participating in social media to counter misinformation and provide evidence-based guidance. This approach not only corrects

inaccuracies but also strengthens public confidence in the medical community (6). Furthermore, collaboration with social media platforms is critical to ensure that accurate health information reaches wider audiences. Implementing measures such as fact-checking, content moderation, and promoting verified accounts of healthcare professionals can mitigate the impact of misinformation. Ultimately, a multifaceted strategy is necessary to safeguard public health in the digital age.

The Role of Social Media in Amplifying Medical Misinformation

The ubiquity of social media has transformed it into a primary source of information for a significant portion of the global population. Despite its advantages in fostering communication and awareness, the structure of these platforms inadvertently contributes to the proliferation of medical misinformation. Algorithms designed to increase user engagement often prioritize sensational and emotionally charged content over factual accuracy, resulting in the rapid dissemination of unverified claims. For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, platforms like Twitter and Facebook were flooded with posts promoting unproven treatments and conspiracy theories, which further complicated public health responses (7).

One of the fundamental issues with social media lies in its ability to amplify voices without a clear mechanism for verifying expertise. Unlike traditional media, where editorial oversight ensures a degree of accountability, social media platforms enable any user to post health-related content. This lack of gatekeeping makes it easier for misinformation to spread unchecked, often overshadowing evidence-based information disseminated by healthcare professionals or public health organizations (8). The challenge is exacerbated by the use of pseudo-scientific language and visually appealing formats, which enhance the credibility of false information in the eyes of the public. Moreover, the interactive nature of social media facilitates the creation of echo chambers, where individuals are exposed predominantly to content that aligns with their

beliefs. These echo chambers reinforce misinformation by limiting exposure to contradictory information and discouraging critical analysis. A study found that users engaging with anti-vaccine content were likely to be recommended similar material by platform algorithms, creating a feedback loop that intensifies misinformation (9). Such environments can erode trust in healthcare systems, with potentially catastrophic consequences for public health initiatives, such as immunization campaigns or disease outbreak management.

The influence of social media in spreading medical misinformation is not limited to laypersons; it also impacts healthcare professionals. A survey revealed that some clinicians encountered misleading medical information through social media, which occasionally influenced their clinical decisions (10). This underscores the extent of the problem, emphasizing the need for reliable sources and professional guidelines to counteract the spread of inaccurate information. Efforts to address these issues must consider the structural features of social media platforms. Fact-checking initiatives, partnerships with public health organizations, and promoting verified accounts of medical professionals can help mitigate the influence of misinformation. However, these measures require robust implementation and user cooperation to succeed. Additionally, improving health literacy among the public is crucial, as it empowers individuals to critically evaluate the information they encounter on social media.

Ethical Challenges Faced by Healthcare Providers in Addressing Misinformation

The rapid spread of medical misinformation on social media places healthcare providers in a complex ethical position. They are often expected to act as arbiters of truth, navigating the delicate balance between professional integrity and public engagement. However, addressing misinformation effectively comes with significant ethical dilemmas that require careful consideration. One of the primary challenges is maintaining trust while countering false claims. Misinformation often exploits emotional vulnerabilities or cultural beliefs, making healthcare providers appear dismissive or

authoritarian when presenting evidence-based corrections (11). Engaging with misinformation on social media requires time and effort that healthcare providers may not have in abundance, especially when such interactions extend beyond their clinical responsibilities. Providers face ethical dilemmas in deciding how much to engage, particularly when misinformation originates from patients or individuals they know personally. This situation becomes even more complicated when the misinformation is tied to sensitive topics like vaccines or terminal illness treatments, where the stakes are high and emotional responses are heightened (12).

Healthcare providers also grapple with concerns about their credibility and reputation. When attempting to debunk misinformation, they risk being misinterpreted, misquoted, or even targeted by individuals or groups who benefit from perpetuating falsehoods. Such risks are particularly pronounced when addressing polarizing topics like the safety of vaccines or alternative medicine. Providers must carefully craft their communication to avoid being perceived as biased or condescending, which can further alienate those already skeptical of mainstream medical advice (13).

Legal and institutional constraints further complicate these challenges. Many healthcare professionals are bound by organizational policies or regulatory guidelines that limit their ability to publicly address misinformation, especially on platforms outside their professional scope. These restrictions can hinder their capacity to respond to misinformation promptly, leaving harmful narratives unchecked. Moreover, some providers may lack adequate training in digital communication, making it difficult for them to counter misinformation effectively without breaching professional boundaries or privacy regulations (14).

The rise of social media influencers, who often lack medical credentials, adds another layer of complexity. Influencers with large followings can propagate misinformation that reaches far beyond

the immediate circle of a healthcare provider's influence. Providers face an ethical dilemma in deciding whether to directly engage with these influencers or to focus their efforts on educating their own patients. In either case, the challenge lies in ensuring that corrections are disseminated in a way that is respectful, accurate, and widely accessible. Efforts to address misinformation require healthcare providers to consider these ethical challenges and navigate them with a strong sense of professional and moral responsibility. Their role is not only to provide accurate information but also to foster open dialogue and understanding, even in the face of resistance.

Strategies for Promoting Accurate Health Information on Social Media

Social media's potential to propagate both accurate and inaccurate health information necessitates robust strategies to ensure credibility and trustworthiness in digital health communication. One of the most effective approaches is leveraging partnerships between healthcare providers and technology platforms. Social media companies play a critical role in moderating content, and collaborations can facilitate the flagging of misinformation while amplifying credible sources. Verified accounts for healthcare professionals and organizations, marked by distinctive badges, help users identify and trust authoritative voices. Such partnerships have shown promise in initiatives aimed at combating misinformation during public health crises like the COVID-19 pandemic (15).

Improving digital health literacy among users is another critical strategy. Equipping the public with the skills to critically evaluate online content is essential for curbing the influence of misinformation. Educational campaigns, often spearheaded by healthcare providers and public health organizations, can teach users how to identify reliable sources, recognize red flags of misinformation, and verify claims through multiple channels. Integrating such initiatives into schools, workplaces, and community settings has proven effective in enhancing overall health literacy and fostering more informed online behaviors (16). In addition to public education, healthcare providers

themselves require training to engage effectively on social media. Many professionals hesitate to participate due to a lack of familiarity with platform dynamics or concerns about professionalism. Training programs focused on digital communication skills, including how to present complex medical information in accessible and engaging ways, can bridge this gap. Providers who are active on social media and skilled in crafting concise, relatable posts are better equipped to counter misinformation and build trust with their audiences (17).

Innovative use of multimedia content is another vital strategy for promoting accurate health information. Visual aids, infographics, and videos are more likely to capture attention and encourage user engagement compared to text-heavy posts. Healthcare professionals and organizations can collaborate with graphic designers and media specialists to create compelling content that resonates with diverse audiences. This approach is particularly effective for addressing vaccine hesitancy and other pressing public health concerns, as it allows complex scientific data to be communicated in a visually appealing and easily understandable format (18). Furthermore, proactive dissemination of evidence-based information is essential to staying ahead of misinformation. Waiting to correct false claims after they have gone viral often proves less effective than preemptively addressing common misconceptions. Healthcare organizations can use predictive tools and trend analysis to identify topics likely to generate misinformation and proactively release accurate, user-friendly content on those subjects. Timely interventions can prevent misinformation from gaining traction and help establish authoritative sources as the first point of reference for health-related queries.

Conclusion

In addressing medical misinformation on social media, healthcare providers face complex ethical challenges while navigating the rapidly evolving digital landscape. Effective strategies, including collaborations with technology platforms,

enhancing digital health literacy, and proactive dissemination of accurate information, are crucial in mitigating misinformation's impact. Empowering both providers and the public to engage critically with online content can strengthen trust in evidence-based practices. A collective effort is essential to safeguard public health and uphold the integrity of healthcare communication.

Disclosure

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Funding

No funding.

Ethical consideration

Non applicable.

Data availability

Data that support the findings of this study are embedded within the manuscript.

Author contribution

All authors contributed to conceptualizing, data drafting, collection and final writing of the manuscript.

References

1. Hagg E, Dahinten VS, Currie LM. The emerging use of social media for health-related purposes in low and middle-income countries: A scoping review. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2018;115:92-105.
2. Zarocostas J. How to fight an infodemic. *The lancet*. 2020;395(10225):676.
3. Vosoughi S, Roy D, Aral S. The spread of true and false news online. *science*. 2018;359(6380):1146-51.
4. Campbell L, Evans Y, Pumper M, Moreno MA. Social media use by physicians: a qualitative study of the new frontier of medicine. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*. 2016;16:1-11.
5. Cinelli M, Quattrociocchi W, Galeazzi A, Valensise CM, Brugnoli E, Schmidt AL, et al. The

COVID-19 social media infodemic. *Scientific reports*. 2020;10(1):1-10.

6. Househ M. The use of social media in healthcare: organizational, clinical, and patient perspectives. *Enabling health and healthcare through ICT*. 2013:244-8.

7. Pulido CM, Villarejo-Carballido B, Redondo-Sama G, Gómez A. COVID-19 infodemic: More retweets for science-based information on coronavirus than for false information. *International sociology*. 2020;35(4):377-92.

8. Allington D, Duffy B, Wessely S, Dhavan N, Rubin J. Health-protective behaviour, social media usage and conspiracy belief during the COVID-19 public health emergency. *Psychological medicine*. 2021;51(10):1763-9.

9. Johnson NF, Velásquez N, Restrepo NJ, Leahy R, Gabriel N, El Oud S, et al. The online competition between pro-and anti-vaccination views. *Nature*. 2020;582(7811):230-3.

10. Tan AS, Lee C-j, Chae J. Exposure to health (mis) information: Lagged effects on young adults' health behaviors and potential pathways. *Journal of Communication*. 2015;65(4):674-98.

11. Broniatowski DA, Jamison AM, Johnson NF, Velasquez N, Leahy R, Restrepo NJ, et al. Facebook pages, the “Disneyland” measles outbreak, and promotion of vaccine refusal as a civil right, 2009–2019. *American journal of public health*. 2020;110(S3):S312-S8.

12. Mollema L, Harmsen IA, Broekhuizen E, Clijnk R, De Melker H, Paulussen T, et al. Disease detection or public opinion reflection? Content analysis of tweets, other social media, and online newspapers during the measles outbreak in The Netherlands in 2013. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2015;17(5):e3863.

13. Perez A. Compassion Fatigue in an Infodemic: A Physician's Duty to Treat in the Age of Misinformation. *U Miami Int'l & Comp L Rev*. 2022;30:236.

14. Moorhead SA, Hazlett DE, Harrison L, Carroll JK, Irwin A, Hoving C. A new dimension of health care: systematic review of the uses, benefits, and limitations of social media for health communication. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2013;15(4):e1933.

15. Vraga EK, Bode L. Addressing COVID-19 misinformation on social media preemptively and responsively. *Emerging infectious diseases*. 2021;27(2):396.

16. Cheng C, Gearon E, Hawkins M, McPhee C, Hanna L, Batterham R, et al. Digital health literacy as a predictor of awareness, engagement, and use of a national web-based personal health record: population-based survey study. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2022;24(9):e35772.

17. Bernhardt JM, Alber J, Gold RS. A social media primer for professionals: digital dos and don'ts. *Health promotion practice*. 2014;15(2):168-72.

18. Wang Y, McKee M, Torbica A, Stuckler D. Systematic literature review on the spread of health-related misinformation on social media. *Social science & medicine*. 2019;240:112552.